## LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVISION OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

Functio	Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) Report Rubric Page 1							
	Score of 1- Needs Critical Improvement Any of the following apply:	<u>Score of 2- Needs Some Improvement</u> Any of the following apply:	Score of 3- Meets Requirements Includes all of the following:	Score of 4- Exceeds Requirements Includes all components of a "3" and at least one of the following for each part:				
II. Operational         I. Reason for Referral and           Definition(s) of the Target Problem         I. Reason for Referral and           Behavior(s)         Behavior(s)	Origin of referral is missing. The target problem behavior is missing. The target problem behavior does not impede learning. The need for services is identified as the reason for referral. 3 or more target problem behaviors are identified. Target problem behavior is not defined. Target problem behavior is not defined in terms that are specific, observable, and measurable.	Origin of referral is unclear. Target problem behavior is unclear. The need for assessment is unclear. Operational definitions have 1-2 required characteristics (specific, observable, or measurable).	Origin of referral (e.g. due process, parent request, IEP team) General description of the target problem behavior includes: -how long student has been exhibiting -how often the behavior occurs -how it impacts learning 1-2 target problem behaviors Each target problem behavior is operationally defined (specific, observable, and measurable).	Information on trends, worsening or improvement in target problem behavior Previously gathered data Summary graph that illustrates baseline data collected across time and/or settings that supports the need for the assessment Example(s) and non-example(s) of target problem behavior Operational definitions of 1-2 appropriate behaviors currently in the student's repertoire that are related to the target problem behavior				
III. Indirect Assessment	<ul> <li>A. Background Information/Record Review Record review and interviews are missing.</li> <li>Does not address current or previous target behavior(s).</li> <li>Information from IEP(s) is not charted.</li> <li>B. Behavioral Interview Tools Target problem behavior is not addressed.</li> <li>Key individuals are not interviewed.</li> <li>C. Indirect Assessment Summary Summary is not included.</li> <li>Lengthy descriptions and/or discussions of irrelevant details (e.g., interventions that are more than 2 years old) are included.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Background Information/Record Review Record review or interviews are missing.</li> <li>Includes information irrelevant to the FBA (e.g. discussions of inappropriate behaviors other than the target behavior).</li> <li>Does not address previous target behavior(s).</li> <li>IEP information chart is incomplete.</li> <li>B. Behavioral Interview Tools Behaviors or topics irrelevant to the target behavior are discussed/reported.</li> <li>1 key individual is interviewed.</li> <li>C. Indirect Assessment Summary Incomplete summary of indirect assessments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Background Information/Record Review Explanation of how background information was obtained</li> <li>Relevant discussion of history: -family</li> <li>-medical/ health/ developmental</li> <li>-educational</li> <li>-previous target behaviors and interventions</li> <li>IEP information chart</li> <li>B. Behavioral Interview Tools</li> <li>Relevant discussion and summary of interviews</li> <li>Interviews of 2 key individuals (1 parent/guardian, 1 school staff member who works with the student on a daily basis) using the Comprehensive Interview Tool.</li> <li>C. Indirect Assessment Summary Summary of all indirect assessments</li> <li>Possible influencing factors, antecedents, consequences, and function of the behavior</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Background Information/Record Review Potential outside factors (e.g., diet, sleep pattern, medication) (Motivating Operations) Instruction, Curriculum, Environment, Learner (ICEL) by Review, Interview, Observe, Test (RIOT) analysis (see Attachments B-1 and B-2) Interview with outside service providers Discussion of parent involvement with implementation of behavior services Graphs of relevant data B. Behavioral Interview Tools Interviews of 3 or more key individuals Use of additional, valid tools C. Indirect Assessment Summary Analysis and discussion of discrepancies in results</li> </ul>				

## LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVISION OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

nctional Behavior Assessment (FBA) Report Rubric Pa					
Score of 1- Needs Critical Improvement Any of the following apply:         Score of 2- Needs Some Improvement Any of the following apply:	<u>Score of 3- Meets Requirements</u> Includes all of the following:	Score of 4- Exceeds Requirements Includes all components of a "3" and at least one of the following for each part:			
Upper patterns of behavior, may be chosen arbitrarily.       Observation periods are determined by indirect data.       Observation periods are determined by indirect data.       Scatter data.       Scatter data.       Observation periods are determined by indirect data.       Scatter data.	bservation record (table) is complete. bservation periods selected based on catterplot. or more direct observation/ data collection eriods, (different days), during which target roblem behavior is observed. <b>. Ecological Analysis</b> ettings/activities, number of students and dults, classroom motivation systems, physical ructure, and interpersonal interactions are lentified and described. escription and analysis of Tier I supports. evel of class-wide academic engagement is scussed. <b>. Current Data</b> days of scatter plot data are collected and eported at the outset of the assessment period consecutive days, when possible). addition to ABC data collection, at least <b>1</b> ther type of data collection is included (i.e. equency, duration, PLAcheck/peer omparison). <b>t least 15</b> occurrences of the target problem ehavior are captured by ABC data collection. ata collection sessions conducted in settings here the behavior is and is not occurring. ecurring antecedents and consequences are early identified in graphs. Antecedent-Behavior nd Behavior-Consequence patterns are ummarized in a chart (see template). ata are graphed appropriately.	4 or more observations/ data collection periods during which target problem behavior occurred A. Ecological Analysis Graphs summarizing results. Ratio of positive/supportive to negative/corrective interactions between adults and students. B. Current Data 6 or more days of scatter plot data are collected and reported. Additional data collection, relevant to the target behavior Addresses any precursor behaviors and response classes (if applicable) ICEL by RIOT is part of analysis (see Attachments B-1 and B-2) Inter-rater reliability Conditional probability (A:B, B:C) C. Direct Assessment Summary Data on appropriate behaviors (e.g., frequency, duration, etc.)			

## LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVISION OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) Report Rubric Page 3						
	Score of 1- Needs Critical Improvement Any of the following apply:	Score of 2- Needs Some Improvement Any of the following apply:	Score of 3- Meets Requirements Includes all of the following:	Score of 4- Exceeds Requirements Includes all components of a "3" and at least one of the following for each part:		
V. Function(s) of the Target Problem Behavior(s)	A hypothesized function of the problem target behavior is missing. Hypothesized function of the problem target behavior is not based on behavioral concepts and/or is not consistent with collected data. (SEAT: Sensory/Automatic, Escape, Attention, Tangible)	Hypothesized function is not reflected by data collected.	For each target problem behavior: hypothesized function (SEAT) is aligned with and supported by collected data.	Incorporates any precursor behaviors and/or setting events (Motivating Operations) into hypothesized function statement.		
VI. Overall Summary	Summary of emerging patterns that may influence the target problem behavior is missing. Relevant antecedents are not discussed.	Summary is based on indirect data <b>only</b> . Summary is based on direct data <b>only</b> .	Pertinent background information -assessments (indirect & direct) -emerging patterns and influences (e.g., time, setting, individuals/interactions, environmental triggers, and responses) Findings from all areas Relevant antecedents Statement(s) of hypothesized function	Summarizes emerging patterns that may influence <b>appropriate</b> behaviors Effects of Motivating Operations (e.g., diet, sleep pattern, medication, etc.) Precursor behaviors Response classes Overall summary chart/table		
VII. Recommendations	Lacks a functionally equivalent replacement behavior (FERB) Strategies are not based on the function of the behavior. Behavior Related Service (i.e., BII, BIC, BID) is named as a strategy. <b>A. Antecedent Strategies (Prevention)</b> Strategies are missing <b>B. Behavior Strategies (Teaching)</b> New, replacement behaviors are not identified. Replacement behaviors do not match the function of the target problem behavior. <b>C. Consequence-Based Strategies</b> Not identified Intended reinforcers are not identified and/or based on student's preferences <b>D. Follow up Data Collection</b> Methods for follow up data collection are missing	<ul> <li>Functionally equivalent replacement behavior (FERB) is not described for each target behavior.</li> <li>Some strategies are based on the function of the behavior.</li> <li>A. Antecedent Strategies (Prevention) Do not address environmental changes.</li> <li>B. Behavior Strategies (Teaching) Incomplete discussion of: -Replacement behaviors</li> <li>-Prerequisite skills</li> <li>-Teaching strategies</li> <li>C. Consequence-Based Strategies</li> <li>A description of reinforcement is missing.</li> <li>Safety precautions are missing, if applicable.</li> <li>Includes only correction strategies.</li> <li>D. Follow up Data Collection Methods for follow up data collection are unclear</li> </ul>	Functionally equivalent replacement behavior (FERB) described for each target problem behavior. All strategies are related to the hypothesized function. A. Antecedent Strategies (Prevention) Environmental changes (e.g., schedules, choice) B. Teaching Strategies for Skill Acquisition Replacement behaviors (FERB) Prerequisite skills needed and those already in student's repertoire Teaching strategies (e.g., modeling, role play, small group instruction, pre-teaching) Includes plan for generalization and fading, as appropriate. C. Consequence-Based (Response) Strategies Reinforcement of existing and new appropriate behaviors, based on student preferences Identification and description of correction strategies (e.g., prompts to use FERB, redirection,) D. Follow up Data Collection Type of data, frequency of data collection, and data analysis Methods for follow up data collection include response measure	A. Antecedent Strategies (Prevention) Indicates curricular accommodations B. Behavior Strategies (Teaching) General descriptions of teaching strategies Technologically written (step-by-step) generalization and fading plan, as appropriate. C. Consequence-Based Strategies Schedule(s) of reinforcement Preference assessment		